Recent Advances In Ice Altimetry & Implications for CryoSat

Prof. Duncan Wingham







New generation of Ice Sheet Models







Pine Island: Internal or External Dynamics?

decrease drag coefficient by 10%

whole ice stream (red), just main trunk (blue) and just steep section (green)

local thinning rates of ~ 1 m yr⁻¹generated throughout

changes in steep section propagate ~ 110 km upstream of grounding line





Arctic Sea Ice Change 1992-1998



Comparison of satellite and submarine thickness measurements '93 to '97

Laxon *et al., Nature*, 2003



ERS reveals highly variable pattern of Arctic ice change



First Synoptic Observations of Arctic Sea Ice Thickness and Interannual Variability

Mean thickness







Laxon et al., Nature, 2003



New generation of sea ice models



Satellite imagery shows sea ice mechanics to be highly anisotropic and dominated by fractures (leads)



Deformation mostly occurs in thinner and weaker leads

Under deformation leads rotate, widen, narrow and close.





Ocean warming reaches the Antarctic?



Shepherd & Wingham, Science, 2003



Secular thinning preceded collapse of Larsen Ice Shelf

Implications for CryoSat: Sampling & Coverage



ERS sea ice sampling density



ERS coverage



CryoSat Measurement Concept



SIRAL 'SARIn' Operational Mode



CryoSat Orbit Selection





Implication of Mission Constraints: Mode Use





Implications for CryoSat: Validation



ERS reveals highly variable pattern of Arctic ice change



WAIS thinning is dynamically linked



Implications for CryoSat: Magnitude, Spatial and Temporal Scales & Geographic Distribution of Errors

Example: Level 2 Land ice geometric and penetration model error



Antarctica ERS elevation change covariance



- ~ 2 cm yr⁻¹ at 10⁴ km²
- Annual cycle, maximum range Spring-Autumi
- Dominated by Level 1b error at ~ 1 km²
- Distinction between wet and dry firn



Implications for CryoSat: Conceptual Validation Design

Example: Level 2 Sea ice geometric and penetration model error



- Assess practicality and identify missing capability *e.g.* ASIRAS.
- Identify and contact important groups and planning time-scales *e.g.* Alfred Wegener Institute; 2-3 year planning horizon for polar activity.
- Identify practical locations *e.g.* Arctic Ocean N. and W. of Greenland is accessible and gives access to strong ice concentration variations.
- Identify experimental complexity and novelty and assess need for pre-launch trials *e.g.* LARA (2002) and CryoVEx (2003) campaigns.
- Identify and implement requirements on ground-segment capability.



Implications for CryoSat: Validation Experiments





Implications for CryoSat: Validation & Data Respinning



